# **Archives of Community Medicine & Public Health**



# Susan L Davies<sup>1\*</sup>, Kathleen F Harrington<sup>2</sup>, Kevin Fontaine<sup>1</sup>, Richard M Shewchuk<sup>3</sup>, Michael Windle<sup>4</sup> and Frank A Franklin<sup>1,5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Alabama at Birmingham (UAB) School of Public Health, Department of Health Behavior, USA

<sup>2</sup>UAB School of Medicine, Department of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, USA

<sup>3</sup>UAB School of Health Professions, Department of Health Services Administration, USA <sup>4</sup>Emory University, School of Public Health, Department of Health Behavior and Health

Education, Atlanta, GA, USA <sup>5</sup>UAB School of Medicine, Department of Pediatrics, USA

**Dates:** Received: 19 July, 2015; Accepted: 22 September, 2015; **Published:** 24 September, 2015

\*Corresponding author: Susan L. Davies, PhD, Associate Professor, Department of Health Behavior, UAB School of Public Health, RPHB 227, 1530 3rd Avenue, South, Birmingham, AL 35294-0022, Tel: 205-975-8049; Fax: 205-934-9325; E-mail: sdavies@uab.edu

www.peertechz.com

ISSN: 2455-5479

**Keywords:** Family meals; Childhood obesity; Dietary intake; Television

#### Introduction

Current dietary practices of both children and adults pose a significant public health problem. Under-consumption of fruits and vegetables and over-consumption of highly processed, high-fat foods increase the risk for obesity [1] and a number of chronic diseases, including cancer [2,3], coronary heart disease [4], hypertension [5], and diabetes [6]. Given that dietary practices have such a tremendous impact on health, understanding what influences diet is important.

Eating together as a family is associated with a range of benefits (e.g., eating more fruits and vegetables [7,8], lower BMI [9], reduced risk of disordered eating [8] and depression [10], improved school and psychological performance) [11-13]. While parents report that family meals are important [14,15], the proportion of children eating with their families is low [16]. Several societal trends have adversely affected family mealtimes, especially in families with older children. These include an increased proportion of meals consumed away from home, increased participation in outside activities, more fast food availability, and an increase in dual-income households [17,18]. The increased prevalence of eating out may contribute to the poor quality of many children's diets, as away-from-home foods tend to be nutritionally inferior to those consumed at home [17].

While family members' participation in scheduled activities away from home has increased, and family eating styles have changed to adapt, the fundamental need for nutritious family meals persists. While shared family meals is an important goal in light of data showing they

## Research Article

# Prevalence and Correlates of Family Meals among Families of 3<sup>rd</sup> Graders

#### Abstract

**Introduction:** Dietary intake has a significant role in promoting health and preventing disease. Family meals have been associated with higher nutrient intake, lower obesity rates, and other social benefits, yet little is known about what influences family meal frequency.

**Methods:** We examined psychosocial and demographic factors potentially related to eating dinner together among families of third graders (N=1474) participating in "Hi5+," a family- and school-based nutrition program. Families were recruited from 33 schools to participate in a randomized trial to evaluate the efficacy of a fruit and vegetable promotion program.

**Results:** Hierarchical sequential multiple regression identified nine independent variables that contributed significantly to predicting the frequency of family dinners. Meal-planning capability was the strongest predictor, followed by an increased number of children in the household, lower income bracket, being White, and mothers who are not externally employed, want the family to eat together, monitor their child's intake, strongly encourage fruit and vegetable intake, and are satisfied with their family's current fruit and vegetable intake.

**Conclusions:** Given the importance of diet in preventing obesity and many of the leading causes of death, the importance of the family in shaping future health behaviors among youth, and the influence of family practice physicians in encouraging and reinforcing caregiver behaviors, physician efforts to encourage meal planning and support family meals among their patients are warranted. These findings can have important implications for efforts to intervene before the shift to adolescence where both family meals and vegetable intake become less frequent.

lead to better diets, they are also potentially advantageous in keeping families engaged [19]. The potential for physical, emotional and social benefits from regular family meals together are significant. Meals together provide opportunities for family bonding, sharing, building memories, and learning consideration of others. Sharing mealtime can be especially important for children in fostering the development of communication and social skills, along with a feeling of belonging [12]. As children approach the turbulent adolescent years, regular family meals where open communication and sharing are encouraged may ease the transition and aid in preventing future tribulations. Of course, the benefits of family meals likely depend on their quality as well as their frequency. Eating in front of the television, for example, may negate many of the potential benefits (e.g., conversation, interaction) of family meals. Indeed, television viewing during meals has been positively associated with poorer dietary intake patterns and increased rates of obesity in children [20-23].

Because dietary intake patterns are predominantly formed and consistently reinforced within families [24,25], and are influenced by both the frequency of meals eaten together and the eating behaviors modeled by parents [26,27], families are ideal targets for dietary intervention. And because the evening meal provides the largest proportion of daily calorie and nutrient intake for most children and adolescents [17], family dinners provide an ideal setting for interventions that seek to increase fruit and vegetable intake. Given the potential physical and social benefits of family meals together, interventions that encourage families to increase the number of meals



24.6%

37.6%

73.8%

7.7%

18.5%

eaten together could enhance both diet quality and family and social functioning. But before appropriate interventions can be developed, a better understanding of the factors that are associated with eating meals together is needed. Herein we examine the prevalence and correlates of eating dinner together at home among families of third graders participating in the Hi5+ family nutrition intervention.

#### **Materials and Methods**

Families were recruited from 33 schools in the greater Birmingham, Alabama area to participate in a randomized trial to evaluate the efficacy of a fruit and vegetable promotion program (Hi5+Enhanced Family Intervention) [28,29]. Study data were derived from surveys completed at the baseline assessment by third grade children (N=1,785) and their parents (N=1,650). After eliminating children and/or parents with missing data, 1,474 participant pairs were included in this study. The overall participation rate among third graders was 66.5%. The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board.

#### Measures

Family Home Meal Frequency: Our formative research indicated that the term "suppers" was most closely associated with the evening meal among members of the sample population. A single-item assessed the frequency of suppers the family eats together at home: "In a usual week, my family eats supper at home together ..." Response options were: less than once a week; 1-2 suppers; 3-4 suppers; 5-6 suppers; or 7 suppers.

Hypothesized correlates: Table 2 lists nine variables (e.g., poor meal planning, employment status, income) hypothesized to associate with family meal frequency; one from the child's report and eight from the parent's report. Surveys were administered to children in the classroom while parent surveys were sent home with the child to be completed and returned by the parent. Hierarchical sequential multiple regression was performed using SAS Proc GLM to examine potential predictors of family meals.

We also were interested in the prevalence of family meals without the presence of television which was assessed with a single item: "When your family eats supper at home together, how often do you watch TV while eating?" Response options were: all of the time; a lot of the time; about half the time; once in a while, and never.

#### Results

Table 1 provides descriptive statistics of the study population. According to recent census data [30], these demographics are identical to the Birmingham metropolitan area from which the sample was drawn.

The self-reported frequency of family eating supper at home together every night in a typical week was 15.7%, while 46.8% ate 5-6 suppers together per week, 30% ate 3-4 suppers together, 6% ate 1-2 suppers together and 1.2% ate less than one supper together per week on average. We then examined how many family suppers were consumed without (or rarely) watching TV, and the self-reported family supper frequencies dropped significantly (e.g., while nearly 47% ate 5-6 suppers per week together, less than 25% reported eating

Age, mean (SD), in years 36.8 (6.9) Ethnicity: African American 31.6% Caucasian 68.4% Gender Male 6.4% Female 93.6% Married or living with partner 74.3% Single, divorced, separated or widowed 25.7% Education 8.7% < High school Completed high school 29 1%

Table 1: Baseline Sample Description: Hi5+ Program Parent Participants

(N=1474)

Some college

Unemployed

Homemaker

**Employment Status** 

Income

Completed college

Employed, full-time or part-time

< \$10,000	6.2%
\$10-\$19,999	8.8%
\$20-\$29,999	9.0%
\$30-\$39,999	9.0%
\$40-\$49,999	9.6%
\$50-\$59,999	8.7%
\$60-\$69,999	7.8%
> \$70,000	20.4%
Did not respond	20.5%

that many suppers together without TV). Overall, 52.1% of meals together were consumed in front of the TV (Table 2).

Table 3 shows the relationships between family suppers and the hypothesized variables. The hierarchical sequential multiple regression identified nine independent variables that contributed significantly in predicting the frequency of family suppers [F(12, 1461) = 21.05; p<.001]. Altogether, almost 15% (Model R-Square = 0.147) of the variability in family supper frequency was predicted by these variables. Meal planning capability demonstrated greater association (R2 = 8.4%) than any of the other correlates, accounting for more than half of the total variance explained. Mother's employment status was the next most significant predictor (R2 = 1.4%, with families where the mother is not employed outside the home engaging in more family suppers together), followed by parental monitoring of child's intake (R2 = 1.2%, with parents who know what their child eats at home being associated with more suppers together).

Other significant variables in the regression analysis were race (with families who are white being more likely to eat supper together), having an increased number of children in the household, child's perception of mom's desire for family to eat together, how the mom feels about the amount of fruit and vegetables the family eats, family income (with parents who earn less than \$60,000 being more likely to eat supper together than those who earn more), and the degree to which the mother "pushes the issue" of regularly eating fruits and vegetables.

#### Discussion

This study reveals a population of third graders and their families



Table 2: Distribution of family suppers together by TV viewing during supper.

How often do you watch TV while	In a usual week, my family eats at home together							
eating?	> 1 supper	1-2 suppers	3-4 suppers	5-6 suppers	7 suppers	Total		
All of the time	5	23	50	80	39	197		
A lot of the time	6 <b>LH</b>	17	83	119 HH	45	270		
About half the time	2	17	113	140	45	317		
Once in a while	6	18	137	236	63	457		
Never	1	12	69	139 HL	44	265		
Total	17	87	452	714	236	1506		

HH = 5 or more dinners together and watch TV ½ time or more.

HL = 5 or more dinners; watch TV once in a while/never.

LH = 4 or fewer meals together; watch TV ½ time or more.

LL = 4 or fewer meals together; watch TV once in a while/never.

Table 3: Hypothesized Correlates of Meals Together

Survey response options	Change R <sup>2</sup>	<b>p-value</b> <.0001
Likert scale ranging from 1 to 5: 1=not at all, 3=some, 5=a lot	.084	
Not employed, full time homemaker, employed full-time (30 or more hours/week), employed part-time (less than 30 hours/week)	.014	<.0001
Likert scale ranging from 1 to 5: 1=almost never, 3=sometimes, 5=almost always	.012	.0004
WhiteAfrican-AmericanHispanicNative AmericanAsian/Pacific IslanderOther(What)	.008	<.0001
(fill in the blank, where parents list age of each child and number of total children)	.007	.001
Likert scale ranging from 1 to 3: 1=not like her, 2=a little like her, 3=a lot like her	.006	.003
Likert scale ranging from 1 to 3: 1=eat too much, 2=eat enough, 3=should eat more	.005	.009
hat is your total household's approximate yearly come? < \$10,000, \$10,000-19,999, \$20,000-29,999, \$30,000-39,999, \$40,000-49,999, \$50,000-59,999, \$60,000-69,999, > \$70,000, Do not wish to answer		.004
Likert scale ranging from 1 to 5: 1=not at all, 3=some, 5=a lot	.004	.008
	Not employed, full time homemaker, employed full-time (30 or more hours/week), employed part-time (less than 30 hours/week)  Likert scale ranging from 1 to 5: 1=almost never, 3=sometimes, 5=almost always  WhiteAfrican-AmericanHispanicNative AmericanAsian/Pacific IslanderOther(What) (fill in the blank, where parents list age of each child and number of total children)  Likert scale ranging from 1 to 3: 1=not like her, 2=a little like her, 3=a lot like her  Likert scale ranging from 1 to 3: 1=eat too much, 2=eat enough, 3=should eat more  < \$10,000, \$10,000-19,999, \$20,000-29,999, \$30,000-39,999, \$40,000-49,999, \$50,000-59,999, \$60,000-69,999, > \$70,000, Do not wish to answer	Likert scale ranging from 1 to 5: 1=not at all, 3=some, 5=a lot  Not employed, full time homemaker, employed full-time (30 or more hours/week), employed part-time (less than 30 hours/week)  Likert scale ranging from 1 to 5: 1=almost never, 3=sometimes, 5=almost always WhiteAfrican-AmericanHispanicNative AmericanAsian/Pacific IslanderOther(What) (fill in the blank, where parents list age of each child and number of total children)  Likert scale ranging from 1 to 3: 1=not like her, 2=a little like her, 3=a lot like her  Likert scale ranging from 1 to 3: 1=eat too much, 2=eat enough, 3=should eat more  < \$10,000, \$10,000-19,999, \$20,000-29,999, \$30,000-39,999, \$40,000-49,999, \$50,000-59,999, \$60,000-69,999, > \$70,000, Do not wish to answer

who eat a great number of suppers together, although more than half of those family suppers are consumed while watching TV. Importantly, the strongest predictor of family meal frequency, poor meal planning, is highly amenable to intervention. While the mother working outside the home was a predictor of less frequent family suppers, it had much lower predictive value than poor meal planning. This suggests that if meal planning skills were attained and regularly practiced, families may share meals more often, even in families where the mother has external employment. As 80% of the mothers in this sample were employed, efforts to enhance meal planning in this population have the potential to markedly increase the number of meals that these families share. Other research indicates that parents are receptive to meal planning assistance [31].

This is where health practitioners and other health professionals can be highly influential. Family physicians, community interventionists and other practitioners can have frequent contact with patients at high risk for chronic disease. Their advice can be pivotal in initiating

patient behavior change efforts. Practitioners play a significant role in increasing their patient's motivation [32], and intentions for behavior change [32]. While a number of barriers exist that make it difficult for clinicians to integrate nutrition counseling into routine practice [34], research shows that when they are able to overcome these obstacles, they have a positive impact on patient behaviors [32]. Many patients see their health care provider as a trusted reliable source of expert health information [33]. Practitioners can: raise awareness of the benefits of good nutrition through family meals together; encourage meal planning and family meals without the presence of TV; assess progress at future visits and provide positive reinforcement when this advice is taken; and share or suggest meal planning tools and apps, which are abundant on the internet, in bookstores and many grocery stores. Providing tangible aids or strategies may be all that's needed to initiate action. A recent meta-analysis showed that individuals with chronic disease were significantly more successful at increasing their physical activity levels when they received face to face strategies for behavior change, compared to when they were given information



intended to change their knowledge and beliefs about physical activity [35].

These findings also have implications for a broad range of professionals and service providers who regularly interact with caregivers. Health professionals in various roles (e.g., nurses, dieticians, health educators) can provide skill-building workshops, meal planning aids, informational materials and social support. School and/or community personnel (e.g., teachers, coaches, PTA groups, WIC staff, and childcare workers) can offer cooking demonstrations and mobilize parents to share family meal strategies and success stories. Church clergy and congregational staff can promote the benefits of family meals (e.g., strengthen family ties, improve dietary intake), as food plays a central role in many church functions and social events. Given the finding that most parents in our study work, employers can also play an important role in supporting family meals together with efforts both large (e.g., offering flex-time hours to employees) and small (distributing meal planning tools, recipes and coupons for healthy foods). Employers who have cafeterias and/or food preparation capabilities could sell prepackaged healthy meals to go. Armed with both the knowledge that family meals are beneficial and the tools that enable regular meal planning, parents are more likely to make family meals together a priority.

Obesity is increasing worldwide, contributing to numerous chronic diseases, including type 2 diabetes, cardiovascular disease, cancer, osteoarthritis, work disability, and sleep apnea [36]. These trends will likely continue unless new strategies are adopted and maintained across all relevant contexts. While parents have been largely recognized as a significant influence on child's dietary intake and eating behaviors, they have been underutilized in efforts to reverse these public health challenges. Adopting a practice of regular family meals is one such strategy to improve dietary intake and other important psychosocial factors.

This study has several limitations that should be noted. Findings are limited by the use of self-reported data and single-item measures for the number of family meals and the frequency of TV viewing during meals. Also, meals "together" could be interpreted different ways (e.g., some may have included any suppers with two or more family members).

# Conclusion

The potential for physical, emotional and social benefits from regular family meals (in the absence of TV) are significant. This study extends the literature by providing data related to family meals from a large, multi-ethnic general population sample of urban southern families. We found that, of nine significant correlates, meal-planning capability most strongly predicted the frequency of family suppers. Interventions that facilitate meal planning may lead to more family suppers together, which in turn may improve the family diet and bring other important benefits, including keeping families engaged. Health professionals can have significant influence in promoting the benefits of family meals to mothers and families.

### Acknowledgement

This study was supported by a grant from the National Cancer

Institute (R01 CA59776) to the last author. The authors would like to thank Maria Brown-Binns, MS, for her assistance with data management and analysis.

#### References

- Slavin JL, Lloyd B (2012) Health benefits of fruits and vegetables. Adv Nutr 3: 506-516.
- Boeing H, Bechthold A, Bub A, Ellinger S, Haller D, et al. (2012) Critical review: vegetables and fruit in the prevention of chronic diseases. Eur J Nutr 51: 637-663.
- Giacosa A, Barale R, Bavaresco L, Gatenby P, Gerbi V, et al. (2013) Cancer prevention in Europe: the Mediterranean diet as a protective choice. Eur J Cancer Prev 22: 90-95.
- Núñez-Córdoba JM, Martínez-González MA (2011) Antioxidant vitamins and cardiovascular disease. Curr Top Med Chem 11: 1861-1869.
- Zhao D, Qi Y, Zheng Z, Wang Y, Zhang XY, et al. (2011) Dietary factors associated with hypertension. Nat Rev Cardiol 8: 456-465.
- Salas-Salvadó J, Martinez-González MÁ, Bulló M, Ros E (2011) The role of diet in the prevention of type 2 diabetes. Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis 21: B32-48.
- Christian MS, Evans CE, Hancock N, Nykjaer C, Cade JE (2013) Family meals can help children reach their 5 A Day: a cross-sectional survey of children's dietary intake from London primary schools. J Epidemiol Community Health 67: 332-338.
- Hammons AJ, Fiese BH (2011) Is frequency of shared family meals related to the nutritional health of children and adolescents? Pediatrics 127: e1565-1574
- Berge JM, Wickel K, Doherty WJ (2012) The individual and combined influence of the "quality" and "quantity" of family meals on adult BMI. Fam Syst Health 30: 344-351.
- Fulkerson JA Kubik MY, Story M, Lytle L, Arcan C (2009) Are there nutritional and other benefits associated with family meals among at-risk youth? J Adolesc Health 45: 389-395.
- Fulkerson JA, Strauss J, Neumark-Sztainer D, Story M, Boutelle K (2007) Correlates of psychosocial well-being among overweight adolescents: the role of the family. J Consult Clin Psychol 75: 181-186.
- Fulkerson JA, Story M, Mellin A, Leffert N, Neumark-Sztainer D, et al. (2006)
   Family Dinner Meal Frequency and Adolescent Development: Relationships with Developmental Assets and High-Risk Behaviors. J Adol Health 39: 337-345.
- Eisenberg ME, Olson RE, Neumark-Sztainer D, Story M, Bearinger LH (2004) Correlations between family meals and psychosocial well-being among adolescents. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med 158: 792-796.
- Rovner AJ, Mehta SN, Haynie DL, Robinson EM, Pound HJ, et al. (2010) Perceived benefits, barriers, and strategies of family meals among children with type 1 diabetes mellitus and their parents: focus-group findings. J Am Diet Assoc 110: 1302-1306.
- Fulkerson JA, Kubik MY, Rydell S, Boutelle KN, Garwick A, et al. (2011)
   Focus groups with working parents of school-aged children: what's needed to improve family meals? J Nutr Educ Behav 43: 189-193.
- Wansink B, Shimizu M, Brumberg A (2013) How vegetables make the meal: their hedonic and heroic impact on perceptions of the meal and of the preparer. Public Health Nutr 16: 1988-1994.
- Lin BH, Guthrie J (2013) Nutritional Quality of Food Prepared at Home and Away From Home, 1977-2008: ERS Report Summary. December 2012, Economic Research Service, U.S. Department of agriculture.
- Neumark-Sztainer D, Larson NI, Fulkerson JA, Eisenberg ME, Story M (2010)
   Family meals and adolescents: what have we learned from Project EAT (Eating Among Teens)? Public Health Nutr 13: 1113-1121.



- Prior AL, Limbert C (2013) Adolescents' perceptions and experiences of family meals. J Child Health Care 17: 354-365.
- Andaya A, Arredondo EM, Alcaraz JE, Lindsay SP, Elder JP (2011) The association between family meals, TV viewing during meals, and fruit, vegetables, soda, and chips intake among Latino children. J Nutr Educ Behav 43: 308-315.
- Fitzpatrick E, Edmunds LS, Dennison BA (2007) Positive effects of family dinner are undone by television viewing. J Am Diet Assoc 107: 666-671.
- Miller SA, Taveras EM, Rifas-Shiman SL, Gillman MW (2008) Associations between television viewing and poor diet quality in young children. Int J Pediatr Obes 3: 168-176.
- 23. Vik FN, Bjørnarå HB, Overby NC, Lien N, Androutsos O, et al. (2013) Associations between eating meals, watching TV while eating meals and weight status among children, ages 10--12 years in eight European countries: the ENERGY cross-sectional study. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act 10: 58.
- Larson NI, Neumark-Sztainer D, Hannan PJ, Story M (2007) Family meals during adolescence are associated with higher diet quality and healthful meal patterns during young adulthood. J Am Diet Assoc 107: 1502-1510.
- Kral TV, Rauh EM (2010) Eating behaviors of children in the context of their family environment. Physiol Behav 100: 567-573.
- Pearson N, Biddle SJ, Gorely T (2009) Family correlates of fruit and vegetable consumption in children and adolescents: a systematic review. Public Health Nutr 12: 267-283.
- 27. Scaglioni S, Arrizza C, Vecchi F, Tedeschi S (2011) Determinants of children's eating behavior. Am J Clin Nutr 94: 2006S-2011S.
- 28. Davies SL, Harrington K, Franklin FA, Shewchuk RM, Feese ML, et al. (2005)

- Hi5+: systematic development of a family intervention to increase fruit and vegetable intake. Health Promot Pract 6: 190-201.
- 29. Harrington KF, Franklin FA, Davies SL, Shewchuk RM, Binns MB (2005) Implementation of a family intervention to increase fruit and vegetable intake: the Hi5+ experience. Health Promot Pract 6: 180-189.
- Bureau USC (2002) Census 2002. [Online database]. 2000. Accessed November 13, 2002.
- Fulkerson JA, Story M, Neumark-Sztainer D, Rydell S (2008) Family meals: perceptions of benefits and challenges among parents of 8- to 10-year-old children. J Am Diet Assoc 08: 706-709.
- 32. Jackson SE, Wardle J, Johnson F, Finer N, Beeken RJ (2013) The impact of a health professional recommendation on weight loss attempts in overweight and obese British adults: a cross-sectional analysis. BMJ Open 3: e003693.
- Berry LL, Parish JT, Janakiraman R, Ogburn-Russell L, Couchman GR, et al. (2008) Patients' commitment to their primary physician and why it matters. Ann Fam Med 6: 6-13.
- 34. Ampt AJ, Amoroso C, Harris MF, McKenzi SH, Rose VK, et al. (2009) Attitudes, norms, and controls influencing lifestyle risk factor management in general practice. BMC Fam Pract 10: 59.
- Conn VS, Hafdahl AR, Mehr DR (2011) Interventions to increase physical activity among health adults: meta-analysis of outcomes. Am J Pub H 101: 751-758.
- Novak NL, Brownell KD (2011) Obesity: a public health approach. Psychiatr Clin North Am 34: 895-909.

Copyright: © 2015 Davies SL, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.