Journal of Dental Problems and Solutions



Abdolreza Jamilian^{1*}, Rahman Showkatbakhsh², Neda Borna³ and Letizia Perillo⁴

¹Associate Professor, Department of Orthodontics, School of Dentistry, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran ²Professor, Department of Orthodontics, School of Dentistry, Shahid Beheshti University Tehran, Iran ³Department of Orthodontics, School of Dentistry, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran ⁴Professor, Head of Post Graduate Orthodontic Program, Departments of Orthodontics, Second University of Naples, Naples, Italy

Dates: Received: 24 August, 2014; Accepted: 06 September, 2014; Published: 08 September, 2014

*Corresponding author: Prof Abdolreza Jamilian, No 2713, Jam Tower, Vali Asr St., Tehran, 1966843133, Iran, Tel: 0098-21-22011892; Fax: 0098-21-22022215; E-mail: info@jamilian.net

www.peertechz.com

ISSN: 2394-8418

Keywords: Maxillary deficiency; Maxillary protrusion; Pharyngeal airway; Tongue appliance; Upper airway

Introduction

The skeletal and dentoalveolar effects of orthopedic appliances in patients with Class III (Cl III) malocclusions and maxillary deficiency have been well documented in literature. Numerous appliance designs such as endosseous implants [1], ankylozed teeth [2], surgically assisted orthopedic protraction [3], distraction osteogenesis [4,5], Hybrid Hyrax appliance [6], reverse chin cup [7,8], face mask [9], tongue appliance [10-12], and tongue plate [13] have been used for treatment of skeletal Cl III malocclusions. Forward displacement of the maxilla, labial tipping of the maxillary incisors, counter clockwise rotation of the palatal plane, inhibition of anterior mandibular growth, increase of face height, clockwise rotation of the mandible and lingual tipping of the lower incisors have all been shown to take place [14-16]. Many studies have investigated the effects of the maxillary protraction appliance on nasomaxillary complex and the soft tissues of the face, but the relationship between these extreme changes in the position of the nasomaxillary complex and airway dimensions has not been investigated as comprehensively as the skeletal changes. Pharyngeal size is very important for all patients and particularly for the patient with sleep apnea. The size of the nasopharynx may be of particular importance in determining whether the mode of breathing is predominantly nasal or oral.

A few studies have investigated the relationship between extra oral maxillary protraction and pharyngeal size [17-19]. However, no research has ever been done to evaluate the relationship between pharyngeal airway space and tongue appliance.

Research Article

The Effects of Maxillary Protrusion on Pharyngeal Airway Dimensions

Abstract

Aim: The relationship between position of the maxillary structures caused by maxillary protraction therapy and airway dimensions has not been investigated as comprehensively as the skeletal changes. This study was conducted to evaluate the effects of treatment with a maxillary protraction appliance on upper airway dimensions.

Material and Methods: Twenty Five patients including 13 females, 12 males with the mean age of 10.66 years (range, +0.7, -0.8 years) with skeletal CI III malocclusion due to maxillary deficiency were selected in this study. All of the patients were treated by using a maxillary protraction (Tongue Appliance) as the only treatment appliance. Lateral cephalograms were taken before and after treatment. Data were analyzed statistically by means of paired T-test.

Results: No significant increase in the width of upper and middle horizontal airway dimension was seen. Significant increases were observed in the length of vertical airway dimension (P<0.001).

Conclusion: These results demonstrated that Tongue Appliance doesn't affect sagittal airway dimensions but it increases vertical dimensions in the short time.

Therefore, the purpose of this study was to examine the effects of an intra-oral maxillary protraction appliance named tongue appliance on the dimensions of the upper airway in patients with Cl III malocclusion and maxillary deficiency.

Materials and Methods

The study was done according to the ethical principles of the Declaration of Helsinki.

In this study 25 patients (13 females, 12 males) with mean age of 10.6 (SD 0.7) years who were treated with tongue appliance were selected. All samples had following inclusion criteria.

Edge to edge incisor relationship or anterior crossbite, flat or concave facial profile.

The patients were treated with tongue appliance alone.

4- No other congenital anomalies, endocrine, nasopharyngeal disorders, tonsillitis, adenitis, previous orthopedic, orthodontic treatment, and rhinoplasty were present.

Tongue appliance [10] used for the treatment of the samples was constructed by Adams clasps for first upper molars and c clasps in the anterior teeth in order to increase the retention. Three to five separate spurs incorporated in the palatal between canine to canine areas. These spurs were as long as to cage the tongue and they were adjusted in clinic to avoid traumatizing the floor of the mouth. This appliance was used for approximately 22 hours a day. The average treatment



time of patients was 12±1.4 months. Pre and Post treatment lateral cephalograms of the subjects were analyzed. The following variables of airway dimensions were studied:

SPPS (Superior pharyngeal space)

The width of the pharynx measured between the posterior pharyngeal wall and the dorsum of the soft palate on a line parallel to the palatal plane that runs through the middle of the line from PNS to the tip of the soft palate (P).

MPS (middle pharyngeal space)

The width of the pharynx measured between the posterior pharyngeal wall and the dorsum of the tongue on a line parallel to the palatal plane that runs through P.

IPS (inferior pharyngeal space)

The width of the pharynx measured between the posterior pharyngeal wall and the dorsum of the tongue on a line parallel to the palatal plane that runs through the most anterior inferior point on the second vertebra (C2).

PNS-Eb

The distance between posterior nasal spine and the inferior part on epiglottis (Eb).

SN-CVT

The angle formed by the SN plane and CVT (The line through C2 and C4).

The cephalogram were traced by one trained and calibrated dentist. The magnification factor of the lateral cephalometric radiographs were measured separately and corrected. Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) was used for evaluation of the data and the data were analyzed statistically by means of paired t-test.

Results

Table 1 shows the changes caused by tongue appliance in the width and area measurements of airway space. SPPS and MPS showed insignificant increase and IPS showed insignificant decrease. PNS-Eb increased significantly from 52.7 ± 5.2 to 59.2 ± 7.9 . (P<0.001) SN-CVT also showed an insignificant increase.

Discussion

In this study, no significant changes were found between pre and post treatment airway parameters in horizontal dimension but there

Table 1: Pre and post cephalometric data based on pharyngeal airway dimensions ^a.

	T,	Т,	Changes	Values
SPPS	9.6 ± 2.9	10.5 ± 4.9	0.8 ± 0.4	0.41
MPS	10.3 ± 2.9	10.5 ± 3.9	0.2 ± 4.3	0.82
IPS	11.04 ± 4.1	11 ± 4	-0.04 ± 3.3	0.96
PNS-EB	52.7 ± 5.2	59.2 ± 7.9	6.5 ± 8.5	0.001*
SN-CVT	94.2 ± 6.1	94.5 ± 5.9	0.3 ± 0.9	0.21

Level of significance was set at 0.05.

was significant increase in vertical dimension. Similarly, Hiyama et al. [17] found that there were no significant changes between pre and post treatment airway parameters. They carried out a multiple regression analysis which revealed that greater forward maxillary growth was associated with a greater increase in the superior upper airway dimension.

A possible explanation as to why in this study and that of Hiyama there wasn't any difference between pre and post treatment airway parameters may be that upper airway measurements (SPPS, MPS, IPS) were mainly at the back of the tongue and very minimally related to maxillary structures. This might be happen due to increase the length of maxilla not changing the position of it anteroposteriorly.

As previously mentioned, in this study only the vertical airway dimension was increased. Since Y-axis was increased during treatment, the mandible had a clockwise rotation, which might have influenced the tongue posture and Eb. Due to the backward and downward rotation of the mandible, Eb can move in downward direction and vertical dimension of pharyngeal space is increased.

In similar studies, Fransson et al. [20] evaluated the influence of a mandibular protruding device (MPD) after 2 years of nocturnal use on the upper airway and its surrounding structures and found an increase in the pharyngeal airway resulting from the mandibular protrusion. Ackam et al. [21] studied the relationship between the soft palate and the nasopharyngeal airway in different mandibular growth rotation and found a decrease in the upper airway dimensions of the patient having posterior mandibular rotation. This reveals that there is a close relationship between the airway dimension and the positioning of the jaws especially lower jaw.

One of the most critical limitation of this study might be that the upper airway dimension was evaluated based on a 2-dimensional cephalometric measurement [22-24]. Therefore it is still unknown whether changes in respiratory function could be induced following the increased maxillary growth during maxillary protraction appliance treatment.

Conclusion

This study evaluated the effect of tongue appliance on the sagittal dimension of the upper airway space in 25 growing patients with maxillary deficiency.

- 1. Upper (SPPS) and middle (MPS) horizontal airway dimension was increased insignificantly
- 2. inferior horizontal airway dimension was decreased insignificantly
- 3. Vertical airway dimension was increased significantly.

References

- Smalley WM, Shapiro PA, Hohl TH, Kokich VG, Branemark PI (1988) Osseointegrated titanium implants for maxillofacial protraction in monkeys. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop 94: 285-295.
- Omnell ML, Sheller B (1994) Maxillary protraction to intentionally ankylosed deciduous canines in a patient with cleft palate. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop 106: 201-205.
- 3. Rachmiel A, Aizenbud D, Ardekian L, Peled M, Laufer D (1999) Surgically-



- assisted orthopedic protraction of the maxilla in cleft lip and palate patients. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg 28: 9-14.
- Tae KC, Gong SG, Min SK, Oh SW (2003) Use of distraction osteogenesis in cleft palate patients. Angle Orthod 73: 602-607.
- Showkatbakhsh R, Pourdanesh F, Jamilian A, Ghorbani A, Behnaz M (2011)
 Hyrax application as a tooth-borne distractor for maxillary advancement. J
 Craniofac Surg 22: 1361-1366.
- Wilmes B, Ludwig B, Katyal V, Nienkemper M, Rein A, et al. (2014) The Hybrid Hyrax Distalizer, a new all-in-one appliance for rapid palatal expansion, early class III treatment and upper molar distalization. J Orthod 41: s47-53.
- Showkatbakhsh R, Jamilian A (2010) A novel approach in treatment of maxillary deficiency by reverse chin cup. Int J Orthod Milwaukee 21: 27-31.
- Showkatbakhsh R, Jamilian A, Ghassemi M, Ghassemi A, Taban T, et al. (2012) The effects of facemask and reverse chin cup on maxillary deficient patients. J Orthod 39: 95-101.
- Maspero C, Galbiati G, Perillo L, Favero L, Giannini L (2012) Orthopaedic treatment efficiency in skeletal Class III malocclusions in young patients: RME-face mask versus TSME. Eur J Paediatr Dent13: 225-230.
- Showkatbakhsh R, Jamilian A, Taban T, Golrokh M (2012) The effects of face mask and tongue appliance on maxillary deficiency in growing patients: a randomized clinical trial. Prog Orthod13: 266-272.
- Showkatbakhsh R, Jamilian A, Ghassemi M, Ghassemi A, Shayan A (2013)
 Maxillary deficiency treatment by fixed tongue appliance—a case report. Int J Orthod Milwaukee 24: 31-34.
- Jamilian A, Showkatbakhsh R, Boushehry MB (2006) The effect of tongue appliance on the nasomaxillary complex in growing cleft lip and palate patients. J Indian Soc Pedod Prev Dent 24: 136-139.
- Showkatbakhsh R, Toumarian L, Jamilian A, Sheibaninia A, Mirkarimi M, et al. (2013) The effects of face mask and tongue plate on maxillary deficiency in growing patients: a randomized clinical trial. J Orthod 40: 130-136.
- Kim JH, Viana MA, Graber TM, Omerza FF, BeGole EA (1999) The effectiveness of protraction face mask therapy: a meta-analysis. Am J Orthod

- Dentofacial Orthop 115: 675-685.
- Baccetti T, Franchi L, McNamara JA Jr (2000) Treatment and posttreatment craniofacial changes after rapid maxillary expansion and facemask therapy. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop 118: 404-413.
- Turley PK (2002) Managing the developing Class III malocclusion with palatal expansion and facemask therapy. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop 122: 349-352
- Hiyama S, Suda N, Ishii-Suzuki M, Tsuiki S, Ogawa M, et al. (2002) Effects of maxillary protraction on craniofacial structures and upper-airway dimension. Angle Orthod 72: 43-47.
- 18. Oktay H, Ulukaya E (2008) Maxillary protraction appliance effect on the size of the upper airway passage. Angle Orthod 78: 209-214.
- Pamporakis P, Nevzatoglu S, Kucukkeles N (2014) Three-dimensional alterations in pharyngeal airway and maxillary sinus volumes in Class III maxillary deficiency subjects undergoing orthopedic facemask treatment. Angle Orthod 84: 701-707.
- Fransson AM, Tegelberg A, Svenson BA, Lennartsson B, Isacsson G (2002) Influence of mandibular protruding device on airway passages and dentofacial characteristics in obstructive sleep apnea and snoring. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop 122: 371-379.
- Akcam MO, Toygar TU, Wada T (2002) Longitudinal investigation of soft palate and nasopharyngeal airway relations in different rotation types. Angle Orthod 72: 521-526.
- Perillo L, Femminella B, Farronato D, Baccetti T, Contardo L, Perinetti G (2011) Do malocclusion and temporomandibular disorders correlate with body posture? J Oral Rehabil 38: 242-252.
- Perillo L, Castaldo MI, Cannavale R, Longobardi A, Grassia V, et al. (2011)
 Evaluation of long-term effects in patients treated with Fränkel-2 appliance.
 Eur J Paediatr Dent 12: 261-266.
- 24. Showkatbakhsh R, Castaldo MI, Jamilian A, Padricelli G, Fahimi Hanzayi M, et al. (2013) Treatment effects of R-appliance and Fränkel-2 in Class II division 1 malocclusions. Eur J Paediatr Dent 14: 17-22.

Copyright: © 2014 Jamilian A, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.